

## SDRME

2008 Summer Meeting  
Asheville, North Carolina

### Meeting Feedback

#### Strengths

1. Involvement of a diversity of members, especially new-timers working with old-timers.
2. Quality of preparation of presenters
3. Relevance of the content to issues important to me
4. Breakfast round table discussion on last day (as well as lack of discussion on the first two mornings)
5. I thought all the presentations were excellent this year – clearly all the presenters had worked hard to prepare materials – pull content/literature plus come up with exercises to help us apply.
6. Thinking about research and methods that we all are interested in
7. Low stress and wonderful time to talk.
8. Structure; Research focused; Resources; Collaborative/networking
9. Networking
10. Networking
11. Time to meet other members; time to pause and think about what I am doing.
12. Beautiful setting.
13. Opportunities for networking
14. More large group sessions (i.e. fewer concurrent)
15. Focus on practical solutions to problems we face as directors.
16. Involvement of many members on the program, i.e., opportunities to collaborate in developing sessions.
17. Diversity of topics.
18. Meeting format – AM holds attention and social format provides greater collegiality and community.
19. Thought provoking sessions.
20. Opportunities to have extended conversations with individuals.
21. Steve Kanter's talk (as an example of having a consistent organization in conversation with SDRME.
22. Camaraderie, opportunity/time to think, collaboration.
23. Opportunities to network; friendly members; afternoons free; great topics/speaker; very nice hotel.
24. Variety of relevant topics, large and small groups and interactive discussion.
25. Sharing common concerns and resolutions.
26. The great people.
27. Research sessions, afternoons open and optional side trips.
28. Starting on Sunday PM and ending Wed. at noon.
29. Interaction with other members; discussions and sessions on research methodology.
30. Small group sessions.
31. Networking, colleagues, good to be a member of a society.
32. Outside speaker; high-quality content

33. Retreat format – everyone stays focused during the AM because we know we have the afternoon free. All day programs are tiring and promote attrition during sessions and then you do not have as many opportunities to discuss topics and meet people. This also allows for people to meet outside of the sessions for other work/collaborations.
34. Small group discussions with presentations; social activities/informal interactions.
35. Very useful topics; collaboration ideas.
36. Expanded program time – starting at 8 and going to 1.
37. Location was delightful; variety of presenters (and topics).
38. Collegiality – person to person communication and support for each other.
29. Exchange of ideas; good location.
30. Steve Kanter's presentation.

### Future Change

1. Much more emphasis on major issues confronting medical education (the continuum).
2. Lower overall cost
3. Look at time change for participants coming from different time zones.
4. Name tags (would help new members to learn each other's names)
5. Shorten the meeting to two days (perhaps stay longer each day). Would help with scheduling constraints, etc. – but the time with colleagues is important as well.
6. Consider lighter breakfast and providing box lunches so there is time to eat before afternoon activities.
7. A bit more clarity with scheduling – it was responsive and flexible but sometimes disruptive.
8. 7:00 am meetings are tough – expense (although setting is lovely).
9. Perhaps not have on July 4 weekend.
10. Starting at 7 in the mornings is a bit too early if you want a morning start.
11. Consider adding web cast/video conference during year; this would total to 3 connections for SDRME membership.
12. Less expensive venue.
13. If possible, send the list of registered participants before we travel to meeting.
14. Enough handouts for everyone.

### Location for Next Year

1. New Orleans – Shelia Chauvin and Annie Daniel could find a location that minimizes members' safety concerns.
2. Hyatt Resort near Brownie's family place with meetings at Brownie's place.
3. San Francisco, Chicago, St. Louis, Madison, WI, in conjunction with AERA this year since in San Diego.
4. Sand Destin, FL, San Diego, CA, Chicago
5. Some lake in Minnesota, Santa Fe, Camp Stanford – Fallen Leaf Lake
6. Central US with easy airline access.
7. Brownie's home for meetings, Hyatt Resort outside Santa Fe for lodging/social events
8. Sonoma Valley, Cape Cod, Toronto, Quebec or Montreal
9. Santa Fe, New York

10. Boston – Rose Wharf, Cape Cod – Ocean Edge
11. Mountains – Rockies, Canadian Mountains, Maine
12. Wisconsin, Minnesota, Michigan
13. Black Hills, somewhere in Rockies

### Suggestions for topics at next summer's meeting

1. Following up on Steve Kanter's presentation on Acad Med, it would be nice to hear Jerry Colliver (TLM) and/or Geoff Norman (Advances in Hlth Sci Ed).
2. Report from subgroup working on teaching awards; updates from folks who find opportunities to do research.
3. Discussion of selected pertinent conceptual frameworks useful in our research.
4. Workshop on standard setting.
5. Economics in educational research.
6. How outside experts can improve what we see/do.
7. Continue the research focused meeting.
8. Learning portfolios – reflecting
9. The role of medical education in the continuum of medical education to patient care.
10. Peer evaluation; further discussion of student evaluation process.
11. Leadership; faculty development module.
12. Evaluation of non-cognitive skills.
13. Peer review of faculty; more on program evaluation
14. Follow up on some research questions/plans small groups may have prepared and explored after the meeting.
15. A variety of research issues.
16. New and different specific research methods.
17. Keep retired members input on reflection.
18. How to plan and carry out faculty development.
19. Actually developing/building collaborative projects within SDRME; SDRME as an "authoritative voice" – what white papers, guidelines, standards can we propose about research and the like.
20. Multi institution research projects – opportunities and challenges.